- Q. How frequently? A. Very frequently. I have been there elf generally two or three times a week
- Q. And how often did Mrs. Thion visit you? A. Not so Q. How long did that intimacy and these friendly visits con-
- tinue? A. They always have until within a very short time.

 Q. Do you know Henry Ward Beecher? A. Yes, Sir. Q. How long have you known him? A. About 22 years.
- Q. Did you become intimately acquainted with him? A. No. Sir: not very intimately. Q. Did he call at your house ever? A. He has occasionally.
- Q. How frequently? A. Very seldom.
 Q. Making friendly or pastoral visits? A. Social visits.
 Q. And did you call at his house? A. I have been there many
- Q. Many times? A. No, not many.

THE WEST CHARGES AGAINST THATON. Q. Do you know William F. West? A. Yes, Sir. Q. Do you know the fact that he preferred charges against Theodore Tilton for having slandered Henry Ward Beecher? Mr. Evarts-That we object to, if your Honor please, as an approper question. If there is any fact they wish to ask her about, any paper or document or anything, of course she competent to speak concerning that identical thing. This de-

scription we object to. Mr. Fullerton-I ask her if she knew the fact that such tharges were made ?

Judge Neilson-I think you should modify that question Does she know the fact that the charges were made, without

specifying what they are ?

Mr. Fullerton-I will do it in that way. [To the witness]: Do fon know the fact that charges were made by Mr. West against Mr. Tilton for slandering Henry Ward Beecher?

Mr. Evarts-No; that is the point that we object to. Mr. Shearman-I desire that this witness should be instruthis lady has probably never been on the witness stand before that she should be instructed that she is to speak of her per sonal knowledge...

Judge Neilson-Yes, Sir. Mr. Beach-It is an introductory question, as a foundation for

Judge Neilson-Yes; but the counsel desires you to omit the nent of the contents of the charges.

M. Fullerton-I know, Sir, but I am not disposed, unless I am instructed by the Court, to conform to that request Judge Nellson-All you want is the fact that she knows that charges were made by Mr. West. Mr. Fullerton - And because I want to know it I ask the ques

Mr. Bench-Will the stenographer read the question?

Tuz Tarnung atenographer read the question as follows "Do you know the fact that charges were made by Mr. West against Mr. Tilten for slandering Henry Ward Beecher?" Judge Nellson-Now, if you will omit "for slandering Henry Ward Beecher" the question is allowed. Strike those words

Mr. Fullation-If I had put the question, leaving those words out, my adversaries would have objected to it for good reason.

Mr. Evarts-You certainly give us good reasons now.

Mr. Beach-Well, Sir, we want-

Mr. Evarts-Let it pass. Mr. Beach-No, Sir; I won't let it pass. We want to know whether the charges which Mr. West preferred against Mr Tilton were connected with Mr. Beecher, for an introduction

to the question to be presented to this lady, whether in cons quence of those charges she had a communication with M: secher in relation to them. Judge Neilson-Now, I assume that only one set of charges was preferred by Mr. West, and I think the learned counsel can

learn whether she remembers or was aware that charges were presented by Mr. West. That opens the door for the subsequent interview between them without inquiring into the con Mr. Fullerton-Did you ever see the charges, or what pu

ported to be the charges, of Mr. West against Mr. Tilton? A. After they were made Q. Were you asked to be a witness? A. Yes, Sir, Q. For the purpose of proving those charges?

Mr. Shearman-To that we object? It does not appear by whom she was asked.

Mr. Fullerton-No, it does not. Judge Neilson-We will take the general fact. It goes to show that her attention was called to the subject. Will gentlemen be quiet, please?

Q. Would you recognize, do you think-Mr. Beach-She has answered that. THE TRIBUNE stenographer read the question and answer as

"Q. Were you asked to be a witness." A. Tes, Sir. Q. For the purpose of proving those charges?"

Q. Were you asked to be a witness for the purpose of proving those charges? A. Yes, Sir. Q. Would you recognize the charges if you saw them? A.

Q. Look at the paper which I now hand you, and after read

ing it or glancing at it, say whether those are the charges which you sawf [Handing witness a paper.] A. Yes, Sir, Mr. Evarts-We understand that that paper she saw. Mr. Fullerton-No. Mr. Evarts-Well, that is what we want to know.

Mr. Fullerton-I did not ask her that. This is "Exhibit 29."

Judge Neilson-You asked her if she recognized those as the Mr. Fullerton-Yes, Sir. Judge Neilson-What does she say to that? I think she may

answer that. Mr. Fullerton-She has answered that; she says that she

does recognize it as the charges. Mr. Evarts-Unless they call her attention to the paper, and

the answer has connection with the paper, I think it is objectionable. If this is the paper that she speaks of, then that is Mr. Fullerton-I did not ask the lady whether this is the paper that she saw.

Mr. Evarts-Now, if your Honor please, you cannot hand

the witness a paper that is not identified by the witness or any-body else, and refresh a witness's recollection by a strange paper and have her say: "Why, those are the charges, I re member." You have a right to ask her whether those charges to wit, this very statement of them, she recognizes as having Judge Neilson-She recognized the statement?

Mr. Evarts-Yes, Sir. Judge Nellson-The vital thing not being whether it is the

same paper, or not?

Mr. Evarts—Well, that is the difficulty in the way of evidence

Judge Nellson-We have been driven to that several times in the course of the case. Mr. Evarts-That paper has not been identified in any way a

ever having been seen by the witness before. The Witness-[To Mr. Fullerton.] That is what I understood you to mean, Sir, what is there stated

Mr. Pullerton-That is just what I asked you. Mr. Evarts-This paper?

Mr. Pullerton-No; what is there stated. Mr. Byarts-Don't animadvert upon me.

Mr. Fullerton-No; I am not animadverting upon you. I am emphasizing what the witness says.

Mr. Rvarts-Emphasize to the Court and jury. Mr. Everts-We except, unless it is understood to apply to the

paper itself. Q. Now, Mrs. Bradshaw, after having seen those charges, and after having been requested to become a witness to prove them, did you have any communication with Mr. Beecher ? A. Yes,

Q. Was it a verbal communication, or by letter? A. By let-

Q. Did you write to Mr. Beecher? A. I did, Sir,

BRISK TILTS BETWEEN COUNSEL. Mr. Fullerton-Gentlemen, notice has been given to you, I believe, to produce that letter, and I now call upon

Mr. Evarts-The notice was given to us orally, here in Court since the Court commenced.

Mr. Morris-Not orally-in writing. Mr. Everts-I beg your pardon. It was handed to us, and we have not had time to produce that paper. Judge Neilson-The notice is too short unless it was covered

by a prior notice—a former notice. Mr. Shearman-No, your Honor, it was not.

Mr. Morris-We have been exchanging notices during the trial on both sides. Judge Neilson-The notice is as good as to any paper here, ugh only made here.

Mr. Evarts-Exactly, as to anything we have it is good, but we have not had any opportunity to examine to see whether we Mr. Shearman-I will say to your Honor that if I had the pa-

per with me, I think I should know it, and I should produce i nedistely. I have not that paper here, and I have not had on opportunity to go to Mr. Beecher's house. Judge Neilson-I assume that you have not had an oppor-

Mr. Beach-I think an hour and a half is time enough to send

to Mr. Beecher's house. Mr. Evarte—To look for papers? Mr. Beach-To look for papers. There is to be no grand ex

amination for the purpose of finding this letter. Mr. Beecher rould at once refer to it upon his files if he kept it. Judge Neilson—Gentlemen, suppose you pass to so topic. This would be a good notice at two o'clock.

Mr. Evarts-So we understand. Mr. Fullerton-Did you preserve a copy of the letter which you sent to Mr. Beecher? A. Yes, Sir.

Q Look at the paper now shown you and say whether it is the copy that you preserved ? [handing witness a paper.] A. Mr. Fullerton-Shall I read it in evidence or not, subject to

baving the original substituted? Judge Neilson-No. Mark it for identification for the present, and hold it until two o'clock. Mr. Everts-Then we will make further answer on the subject

Mr. Shearman Suppose you admit the printed copy.
Mr. Fullecton-No; you have established the pt
Those things come home to roost very often.

No. Sir.

Mr. Fullerton-I can give the date.

omsel might be profitable to themselves

Judge Neilson-Yes, it is so understood.

Mr. Beach-What is the notice?

tters of Mrs. Bradshaw?

they now have done.

Mr. Shearman-I don't want it.

ore say that.

hat by giving-

the substance.

Mr. Evarts-There is nothing here to roost about. Mr. Fullerton-You are off of the roost.

and not choosing the best parts of it. [Laughter.]
Mr. Shearman—I will say that I only did that out of courtes;

o the gentlemen on the other side, for the purpose of finding

Mr. Fullerton-But you must have it. It is October 4, 1873.

Mr. Shearman-Their notice does not cover any such letter

our Honor, and it shows how a little courtesy on the part of

Mr. Fullerton-Ah! that is a lesson you should have learned

Mr. Fullerton-If the notice is insufficient, we will remed

Mr. Evarts-Yes, we have got it now for the first time; we

Mr. Morris-The notice is wrong as to the date, but it refers to

Mr. Shearman-How do we know but there may be a hundre

Mr. Evarts-They have given a notice to produce a letter of a

different date. They would have to correct that notice, which

Mr. Fullerton-Their attention has been called to the sub-

wo o'clock, when they will probably produce the letter.

we can put in the copy if they do not produce the original

Judge Neilson-Could not you save time, gentlemen, by using

The Witness-It is an exact copy, and was written before the

Mr. Fullerton-Look at the paper now shown you, and say

TILTON'S FAMILY A MODEL ONE.

you were intimate in Mr. Tilton's family. I wish to ask you about the degree of affection which existed in that family be-

tween the husband and the wife, as manifested in their daily

intercourse, when you were present? A. I always considered

Q. "I always considered them an ordinarily happy family."
Did I understand you correctly ! A. Yes, Sir.

Mr. Evarts—An ordinarily happy family.
Mr. Fullerton—Yes, Sir. [To the witness.] And that judg-

ent was based upon observation while you were there, was it?

Q. What was the general charreter and disposition of Mrs.

Tilton in her family? A. Most delicate and refined and sweet-

ninded in every way. No one could know her and not love

Q. And up to what time was this degree of affection mani-

fested, in this family, that you have spoken of? A. I never

knew of any serious trouble until within three or four years-

Judge Neilson-Then you will resume with this witness a

Mr. Evarts-We will cross-examined her when the paper i

Mr. Beach-No, Sir; the copy of the letter is proven. If they

produce the original and furnish it, we will introduce that with the reply; if not, we will introduce the copy. There is no occa-

Mr. Fullerton-There is one other question I will ask. [T

the witness.] Is that the envelope in which Mr. Beecher's le

ter to you was sent ! [Handing witness an envelope.] A. Yes,

Mr. Evarts-If you will show us that letter we will see about

A NEW LETTER OF BEECHER'S ADVISING SILENCE.

ady gives a very trustworthy account of it; I mean in respec

of accuracy; and we shall be able to correct it if there happens

Mr. Beach-You consent then to our reading it, substituting

Mr. Evarts-Yes, Sir; referring to the original if we find an

AR FRIEND : I want very much, if you are willing, to have

"Dr. Recher:

"Dr. Re Fillend: I want very much, if you are willing, to have a few words with you in regard to the statement which I, in good faith, consented to make before the Church Committee in your behalf and Elizabeth's, for I felt that my intimacy with her and my love for her and for you gave me a right to speak in her vindication. I could not and would not believe that you had been otherwise than basely caluminated. Now, Mr. and Mrs. Tilton come and warn me not to do it, if I value your welfare; and refer me to you for advice in the matter. I shall be governed entirely by what you say, for I would part with my right hand sooner than to destroy the love and confidence which is reposed in you all over the world. Will you see me for a few moments here or wherever you may appoint. Or, must I accept Theodore's awful story for truth. Do mitigate it, be it ever so little, if you can. Elizabeth has never made any confessions to me. God knows that I do not seek an interview from any motives of morbid curiosity; the subject is too painful for that. Believe me, you have no sincerer friend than

Please do not send a verbal answer by Mr. Halliday, to whom I intrust this note; because I do not wish to intrude at your house. Of course I do not wish to see you if you prefer otherwise; but sand me a line in reply, if you do not come, that I may know what to do, for I cannot take the word of any other person in this matter.

[Letter marked as read.]

Letter marked as ross, 1 now read the reply of Mr. Beecher: "October 7, 1873.

Confidential.

Mr DEAR FRIEND: I thank you for your cordial and sym

The envelope is addressed: "Mrs. M. A. Bradshaw, 485 Henry-

Mr. Pullerton-I will ask you this further queston : Did you

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MRS. BRADSHAW.

Mr. Shearman-With reference to this paper, designated as

Q. Did you ever see any paper of that kind-a paper of that

kind ? A. No, Sir; I think not, Q. No ? A. I think after he had made his charges, he read

Mr. Fullerton-No, no ; not something; he read his charges!

Q. About what time did he read those charges to you? A. I

cannot recollect, Sir, exactly.

Q. Did he ever read them to you before Sept., '73? I mean the

charges as stated in that paper. You will be kind enough to let

her look at that paper. [Paper handed to witness.] A. I don't

Q. Will you look at that paper and refresh your memory, and

say whether you cannot tell us, certainly, that you never

saw or heard those charges before September or October, 1873?

A. I don't recollect the time when the matter was under con-

Q. How near was it to the time of your writing that lette

that you first heard Mr. West read these charges that are in that

Q. That letter was dated the 4th of October, I believe? A.

sideration before the Church; that is, what month it was,

Mr. West's charges, did you ever see that precise paper that

appear before the Committee to give evidence? A. No. Sir.

The cross-examination was then begun.

was shown you by the counsel? A. No. Sir.

m to me to se if he had them correct.

Q. Mr. West read you something?

paper ? A. Within a very short time.

recollect the time exactly.

"BROOKLYN, October 4, 1873.

Very truly yours, HENRY WARD BEECHER.

Mr. Fullerton-I read first the letter of Mrs. Bradshaw:

Mr. Evarts-If your Honor please, this copy, this

Judge Neilson-Is this copy of the letter in by consent?

Mr. Fullerton-That is all with the witness.

Mr. Fullerton-No, Sir, we are through with her.

sion for the suspension of this cross-examination,

Q. Now, Mrs. Bradshaw, you have told us that

to him? [Handing witness a paper.] A. Yes, Sir.

Letter marked "Ex. 66 for identification."

them an ordinarily happy family.

three years perhaps.

Mr. Beach-No. Sir.

Judge Neilson-Then go on.

Mr. Fullerton-The copy?

be any discrepancy.

[Letter marked as read.]

MES. MATTIE BRADSHAW,"

[Letter and envelope marked as read].

t for the original?

ecasion to do so.

Mr. Everis-Yes, Sir.

Marked " Ex. 68, for identification."

beautord.

Mr. Fullerton-I cannot go any further with the witness.

Mr. Beach-We fear the Greeks, Sir, bearing gifts.

Beach-Well, they have got the notice now

er, because the fact is that the description given me is

Q. I understood you to say that Mr. and Mrs. Tilton's family ere an ordinarily happy family until three or four years; can't Mr. Evarts-We want to look for this paper, and we have you fix the date any more definitely than that, Mrs. Bradshaw? erfect right to say so. A. I never knew of any serious unhappiness until after Mrs. Mr. Fullerton-That is what we did say.

Mr. Evarts-No; you said something about coming home to Woodhull's publication.
Q. That was in November, 1872? A. Whenever it was. Q. You have said that Mrs. Tilton was a delicate, refined and Mr. Follerton-We want to remind our adversaries that the reet minded lady; was she not also a devoted wife and mother? word we now use is one of their own getting up.
Mr. Evaris-There is no difficulty at all. A. Yes, Sir, eminently.

Q. Eminently so. Did you see any change in Mrs. Tilton's demeanor to her husband, any change in her manifes Mr. Beach-But the counsel referred to the goose and the affection and devotion down to the period you have named, ander, and it naturally reminds us of the roost, and we there-1872? A. No. Sir. Judgo Nellson-The gentleman has been quoting Shakspeare

Q. Was she not just as devoted a wife and mother during the ears 1809, 1870 and 1871 as you had ever known her to be before! A. Yes, Sir.

Q. Did you not frequently converse with Mrs. Tilton about her husband, I mean with reference to her feelings toward him, in '69 and '70? A. Yes, Sir, or rather she did with me.
Q. Did she not uniformly manifest affection and devotion so vague that it is only by some intuition that I can ever find

toward her husband in those two years? A. What two years. Q. 1869 and '70? A. So far as I remember. Q. How was it in the year 1868, especially in the Fall, the

latter part of 1868? A. I have no special remembrance of that Q. There was nothing noticeable or distinguishable from the general course, between Mr. and Mrs. Tilton, down to the period

you have named in 1872? A. No. Sir. Q. Were you acquainted with the religious views of Mr. and Mrs. Tilton during these years? A. Yes, Sir.

Q. Did you know of any change taking place in the mind of Mr. Tilton in respect to religious subjects? A. Yes, Sir. His wife has very frequently spoken to me of that. erman-That I did not ask. If you object to that about the wife-

Mr. Evarts-We do not call for Mrs. Tilton's statements. Mr. Beach-Well, that will be struck out then, Mr. Shearman-Yes.

Mr. Shearman-You have said that you knew of no serious roubles between them, but did you know of any trouble or difficulty growing up between them on account of difference in re-

Mr. Beach-Well, do you call for that from the declaration Mr. Evarts-In just the same sense in which you have called

stance of it. The date, under the circumstances, was very unfor what she observed in that family. She said she knew of no serious troubles up to a certain time. Now, we ask her if she Judge Neilson-You can resume this branch of the subject at did know of troubles growing out of the discord in religious opinions between Mr. and Mrs. Tilton. Mr. Fullerton-We do not ask for what Mrs. Tilton said.

Mr. Beach-Yes, we can put in the letter of Mr. Beecher, and Mr. Evarts-It is the same kind of evidence-the observations Mr. Fullerton-I don't want to put in the letter of Mr. Beecher she has noticed. Mr. Beach-Ah! If she gives the declaration of Mrs. Til

> Mr. Shearman-Well, we didn't ask for the declarations of Mr. Beach-That is just what we asked you. Mr. Evarts re

fused to snewer and you do answer.

Judge Neilson-[To witness.] You understand that in anwhether it is a reply of Mr. Beecher to the letter which you sent swering you will speak from your general knowledge, and not from what Mrs. Tilton told you. Now, stenographer, read the [Question read by TRIBUNE stenographer.]

A. No trouble or difficulty, only it made her unhappy. Q. Mrs. Tilton was an eminently religious woman, wasn't Q. Did you ever meet Mr. Beecher at Mrs. Tilton's house? A

Q. Frequently? A. No, Sir.
Q. Did you see him in company with Mrs. Tilton either there or elsewhere frequently? A. No, Sir.

Q. But did you see them together sometimes? A. Very sel-Q. What was their demeanor and conduct toward each other when you did see them together? I don't ask what they said but their demeaner and conduct, was it—

Mr. Fullerton-No. no. Judge Neilson-He means apparent.

Q. I mean was it proper and decorous, as you observed it? A. Yes, Sir; eminently so. Mr. Evarts-It might be judicious, both for this witness and any other who may not be able to speak so as to be heard by the jury, that the stenographer himself, when he has written the an-

swer, should read it. Then we should all know what it was. It

might save the time of the counsel, too.

Mr. Fullerton—You have, in reply to a questio put to you by counsel upon the other side, touching the difference in religious sentiment between Mr. and Mrs Tilton, observe that it only made Mrs Tilton unhappy. Did you learn that from what Mrs. Tilton said, or any other way: A. Yes. Sir : from what she said.

Q. You learned it altogether that way, did you not? A. Yes, Sir; I don't remember any other.

This closed the examination of the witness, and the Court

ook a recess till two o'clock. Mr. Shearman-If your Honor please, I desire to say that during the recess I made some examination for the letter without success; I have no doubt that we will be able to find it, and if we do, to morrow morning I will be able to present it to your Honor.

Mr. Fullerton-Now, shall I proceed? Judge Neilson-Yes, Sir,

TESTIMONY OF MR. WILLIAM F. WEST. William F. West called by the plaintiff and sworn

Mr. Fullerton-Where do you reside, Mr. West? A. 175 O Where do you carry on

Q. Were you ever in any way connected with Piymouth Church? A. Yes; Sir, I was a member of Plymouth Church Q. When did your membership commence? A. Some eight

or nine years ago. Q. Have you ever been an officer in the church? A. I have,

Q. What office did you hold? A. I was a member of the Examining Committee for two years, and a deacon of the church Q. During what period were you a member of the Examining

ommittee? A. From 1868 to 1870, I think, Sir. Q. During what period were you a descon in the church Q. Then you ceased to be a deacon ! A. Yes, Sir,

Q. I want to call your attention to what occurred in the Autumn of 1871, after the publication of what is known as the Woodhull biography—if there was any action taken in regard that matter, and, if so, what was it?

Mr. Evarts-Action by whom ? Mr. Fullerton-I will ask a leading question, if you prefer it. Mr. Evarts-No.

Mr. Fullerton-It will not introduce any paper.

Mr. Evarts-I have no doubt you think it proper, but we ob Mr. Pullerton-I withdraw the question.

Mr. Fullerton-What occurred between you and Mr. Beecher if snything, in reference to the Woodhull biography? A. Mr. Beecher made a request of the Examining Committee, of which I was then a member, that he should be appointed as a Com-mittee of one to confer with Mr. Tilton with regard to it. Q. What action was taken in pursuance of that request ! A

Mr. Beecher reported-Q. No, what action was taken? A. The Examining Com nittee appointed Mr. Beecher such a Committee Q. Were any instructions given to the Committee after he

was appointed, and, if so, what were they?

Mr. Evarts—That is objected to; it is only Mr. Beecher's reation to the subject, we take it, that we are concerned with. Mr. Fullerton-I suppose we may show what instruction ere given by the Examining Committee to Mr. Beecher, as a matter of course. Mr. Evarts-Were they in writing ?

Mr. Fullerton-Were they in writing ? A. No. Sir, not that I know of; the Committee requested him to report as soon as

"My Daak Fritznp: I thank you for your cordial and sympathizing note, and accept your expressions of confidence and affection; and I need not say to you how sincerely I reciprocate them. In regard to the matter of which you speak, let me say frankly that I think you will do the greatest good to all parties concerned by pursuing the course which I have done from the first, namely, refusing to allow the public to meddle with domestic and private affairs. It is impossible ever to bring domestic matters, complicated by elements which cannot be stated or understood, and without which all explanations will be barren, into public without doing a deal more harm than good. To be let absolutely alone is the sure and safe remedy; and, in this case, whatever difficulties have arisen have been amicably adjusted by those most deeply concerned. I know very well that the impulse of affection leads a generous nature to wish to fly to a friend's succor; and I am sure that you would not spare yourself any pains to help those who need you. But, happily, the best help you can give is to continue to love and trust those whom you have always trusted, and to refuse to have any hand in giving mischlevous publicity to private affairs, even by allowing them to be discussed in your presence.

With sincere affection, old and new, I remain, Q. Was the object of appointing a Committee stated at the time of the appointment? A. It was; the Committee was to confer with Mr. Tilton with reference to the severance of his elations with the church. It was thought by the Commit-

> Mr. Evarts-No matter what Mr. Fullerton-If it was expressed at the time, state it ?

The Witness-It was expressed at the meeting of the Com-Mr. Evarts-To Mr. Beecher ? The Witness-I don't remember whether Mr. Beecher was

Mr. Fullerton-State what occurred in Mr. Beecher's prese in that regard? A. The action which occurred in Mr. Beecher's presence was at a subsequent meeting, when Mr. Beecher made is report. Mr. Beccher reported to the Committee Mr. Evarts-Is that in writing? A. No, not that I remember;

I think not. Mr. Fullerton-Go on. The Witness-Mr. Beecher reported to the Committee that he had seen Mr. Tilton; that he was in a very critical position. I am not repeating Mr. Beecher's words, now. I took no memo-randum of that at the time; I am simply giving from memory the substance of the report; if there is anything incorrect with reference to it, the books of the Committee will show where my

memory fails me. Mr. Beecher reported that he had seen Mr. Tilton: that Mr. Tilton at that time was in a very critical position; that he had many troubles pecuniary and otherwise; that he had been surrounded by had induenand that he thought it would be better to leave Mr. Tilton to the influence of his friends, and for the church to take no a tion, or for the Committee, rather, to take no action with refernce to severing his relations with the church. That report was adopted and no action taken. Q. No action taken? A. No, Sir.

hurch, through its proper officers, in reference to Mr. Tilton! | can, and went into the vestry room,

Mr. Rvarts-Well, in regard to Mr. Beecher, we will insist

Q. 1873? Were these charges read to you or brought to your notice by Mr. West, these precise charges I mean, before or after you wrote your letter of Oct. 4th, 1873? A. Before that Mr. Pullert n-You can answer. A. Yes, Sir. Q. When? A. In November, 1872, I think.

Q. And very shortly before ! A. Yes, Sir; I should say so. Q. After what event? A. After the publication of Mrs. Q. Was it known as the Woodhull scandal? A. Yes,

Q. What was done after that in reference to Mr. Beecher! A. Mr. Beecher sent a request, or at least Mr. Halladay so Mr. Evarts-We object.

Mr. Fullerton-Did you confer with Mr. Beecher afterwards reference to it? A. I did confer with Mr. Beecher after Q. In reference to this communication from Mr. Halladay?

Mr. Evarts-Whatever the conference was with Mr. Beecher,

that we are entitled to. Mr. Fullerton—That is just what I sak for.
The Witness—Mr. Halladay stated to the deacons of the

hurch, at a deacons' meeting-Mr. Evarts-That we object to. Judge Neilson-Ask what passed with Mr. Beecher.

Mr. Fullerton-If he had a conversation with Mr. Beeche subsequent to that, in which this was repeated, is not that brought within your Honor's ruling?

Judge Neilson-Do you expect to show that? Mr. Fullerton-The witness has so stated to me. Mr. Evarts-This very question your Honor has disposed of,

The short way is to show what occurred with Mr. Beecher; and if that requires anything antecedent to explain it, it will be Mr. Fullerton-You think the shorter way is to go all round? Mr. Evarts-We want to proceed in the correct way. Mr. Fullerton-What did you say to Mr. Beecher in reference

to what Halladay had communicated to the Committee? A. called upon Mr. Beecher at his house; I cannot fix the date exactly; it was in November-the early part of November, 1872and informed him that he had been appointed by the Examining Committee a member of a Sub-committee, to confer with Mr. Tilton in regard to his position in relation to the Woodhull

Q Did you tell him at whose request the Committee ! appointed? A. This Committee was appointed on my motion.

Q. Did you tell him so? A. I told Mr. Beecher so. What was Mr. Beecher's reply to that information? A. Mr. Beecher told me that he thought it would be better to meet this whole scandal by silence—to make no effort to investigate it; and I replied to him that I thought that was a mistaken policy; that the church was suffering very much from the scandal; that I thought that the only way to meet the scandal was to strike it down and utterly destroy it. I told him that Mr. Tilton was a member of the church, as I understood it; that he was named by Mrs. Woodhull as her authority for the scandal; that I thought Mr. Tilton should be called upon to explain his position in the mat-Mr. Beecher replied that there was some force in what I said, and he said that he would meet with the Committee, but he was very busy at that time; that it would be two or three weeks before he could find time to meet with the Committee. I replied o that that the church was suffering very much, that I thought a meeting should be held at once. We were interrupted at that stage of the conversation and went to the other room. This conversation had taken place in Mr. Beecher's study. We then went into the front parlor, and Mr. Beecher resumed the conversation there by saying that this whole story rested solely upon the assertions of two prostitutes; that if he should pay any attention to it, that no prominent man in the country would be safe from their attacks ; but he said that if any pers sponsibilty would make such an attack upon him, that I would see how quickly he would reply to it. I told him that it seemed to me that Mr. Tilton was a responsible person; that he was named as the author—the originator of the scandal; that I thought it was his duty to deny the assertions made by Mrs. Woodhull; and if he did not do that, that the Church should take the matter up; and if he did not explain his position satisfactorily, that he should be dismissed from the Church; and as

Q. Did he meet the Committee? A. He did meet with the Committee; and the Committee met at his house, either the latr part of November or the early part of December, 1872.

the result of this conversation, Mr. Beecher named a day when

he would meet with the Committee at his house, some two

Q. What occurred at that meeting? A. Mr. Beecher told the committee that he had seen Theodore with regard to this matter; that Theodore had expressed to him his great grief and so row at the publication by Mrs. Woodhull, and had offered to do anything in his power to neutralize the effect of it. He spoke of the friendship which existed between Mr. Tilton and himself; and said that he did not think Mr. Tilton had intentionally done anything to injure him; that he thought Mr. Tilton would in a short time before the first of January succeeding—publish in The Golden Age a card, in which he would denounce Mrs. Woodhull, and in which he would deny the truth of her story; and he advised that the Committee should take no ac tion; that they should await the publication of the card. The Committee decided to do this—that is, to recommend such ac-tion to the Examining Committee; but also to recommend at the ame time that this sub-committee should be continued, in o der that if Mr. Tilten did not publish such a card, he might be waited upon by the Committee afterwards.

Q. Was such a card published? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Then what action followed on the part of the Committee cause of the non-publication of the card? A. No action was

taken to my knowledge. Q. What next occurred in reference to this matter in which Mr. Beecher participated? A. The next Interview I had with Mr. Beecher with reference to the matter, as 1 remember, was on the night that I laid the charges before the Examining Com-

BEECHER'S POSITION TOWARDS THE WEST

CHARGES. That is what I want to call your attention to. Now, stale the history of that, if you please? A. I made charges before the Examining Committee on the night of the Charges before the

27th of June, 1873-Frida, night.

Q. Charges against whom:

A. Against Theodore Tilton.

Mr. Everts In writing? A. In writing.
Mr. Fullerton-Were they laid before the Committee? A. They were laid before the Committee, Q. And left with the Committee? A. No; I Chink not. They

returned to me to be amended, the first time I laid them Q. Did you amend them? A. I did. Q. Did you lay the amended charges before the Committee?

A. I did. Q. When were the amended charges put before the Commitee? A. On the evening of the first of July, if I remember cor-Q. 1873? A. 1873

Q. Did you leave these charges with the Committee ! A. I did; they were accepted by the Committee at the time, and the Clerk was instructed to forward them to Mr. Tilton.

Mr. Fullerton-I call for those charges, under our notice Mr. Evarts—You must subpens the Clerk to bring them.
Mr. Morris—We have subpensed him. Mr. Shearman said hat we should have them.

Mr. Shearman-The gentleman is in attendance with the pa-Mr. Fullertion --- But you do not produce them on my call. Mr. Evarts-You have no right to call upon us for papers no

our possession. The clerk is here. Mr. Beach-We ask the clerk to hand the papers over toushese charges. It seems to me that it is but a mere form to

Mr. Fullerton-Before the papers are produced, you may state nended charges before the Committee? A. I wrote to Mr. Beecher on the twenty-fifth of June, I think it was, stating to

Mr. Evaris-No matter what-you wrote a letter. The Witness-Excuse me.
Mr. Fullerton—That letter is in evidence.

Mr. Evarts-And it speaks for itself. Mr. Fullerton-Yes, it speaks for itself.

order to receive my charges.

Q. Were you here when your letter was read in evidence? A. Q. Look at the paper now shown you, and state whether that is the letter you wrote to Mr. Beecher? I show you "Exhibit 53," already in evidence. A. It is, Sir.

Q. How soon after sending that letter to Mr. Beecher, if at all, did you see him? A. I saw him on Friday evening, the 27th Q. Where? A. At the lecture room of Plymouth Church Q. What occurred between you and him at that time? A. The Examining Committee had just left the lecture room in

Mr. Evarts-Never mind that The Witness-I speak of that, because our conversation re-ferred to it, and Mr. Beecher came towards me, and told me he had received my letter; that he was glad to hear from me, and he thought I was taking the right course; but he said, "This is not a good time to bring this matter before the church; many members of the church are going into the country soon, and it will be better to postpone the matter, but if you will put it off until the Fall I will then unite with you in having a thorough investigation. I told Mr. Beecher I was opsed to any delay in the matter; that I felt action ought to be taken at once; that the scandal was doing great damage to the thurch, and that I should proceed in the matter at onceuse every effort in my 'power.' I think these were the that I used-to bring this matter to an issue with Mr. Tilton. Mr. Beecher said to me, . If you do that, Sir, you will act contrary to my wishes Our conversation was interrupted at that time by Captain Charles Duncan, who came to the door and said that the Examining Committee wished to see us."

Q. Whom did he address? A. He addressed Mr. Beecher and yself ; Mr. Beecher said "to see whom ?" Captain Duncan aid, "Yourself and Brother West." Mr. Beecher replied, " nothing to say to the Committee," Cap in said, "Brother West had a matter to lay before the Mr. Beecher then again turned to me and said "Brother West knows very well what my wishes in this matter are." I told Mr. Beecher I was sorry to act contrary to his wishes, but I was Q. Now, was any action taken subsequent to that by the compelled to do it by a sense of duty. I followed Capt, Dun-

its tone and manner? A. His tone was angry and threatening. Q. You have stated you went up stairs before the Committee?
A. I did.

Q. Did Mr. Beecher go with you? A. He did not.
Q. Did you lay the charges before the Committee when you

rent up there? A. I did. Q. And are these the charges you placed before them! placed before them on that evening; these are the amended

Q. Where are the charges you laid before the first that evening? A. I have them in my pocket, I think.

Q. Then produce them, if you please, [Paper shown ness.] I ask you to take a pencil, and mark in red, the charges that were placed before the Committee that evening? A. Do

you mean to write in the margin? Q. No; encircle the part as read-surround it.

The witness does so. Q. Having marked that part of this paper in red, I ask you when the balance of it was added? A. It was not added; the emainder of the paper contains charges against another person. Judge Nellson-In connection with Mr. Tilton? A. Not in connection with Mr. Tilton.

Mr. Fullerton-What knowledge had Mr. Beecher of these charges that you have thus marked in the paper before you pro intended to lay the charges before the Committee, and I told im the substance of the charges.

Mr. Fullerton-I now offer them in evidence, Mr. Evarts-He did not read them. The Witness-He did not read all of them,

Mr. Fullerton-I now read this paper:

Mr. Fullerton—I now read this paper:

"BROOKLYN, June 27, 18:3.

To the Examining Committee of Pignouth Church, Brooklyn:

DEAR BRETHER: Impelled by a sense of duty as a memoer of this Church, I hereby make before you the following charges and requests:

Ist. I charge Theodore Tilton, a member of this church, with having at various times and to different persons, accused the paster of this Church of conduct derogatory to his christian integrity, and high out to the reputation of this church, and I request that the said Theodore Tilton be summoned to appear before this Committee, and, in case of failure on his part to retract said-group; and to prove them to be true, to show cause why he should not be expected from

Tanue on its cart to retract sates of the capelled from membership with this church. I name as witnesses who will testify in aupport of the above charge: Rev. E. L. L. Taylor, D. D., at No. 150 Nassau street, New York; Rev. J. L. Hodge, D. D., No. 66 Harrson Pl. ce, Brooklyn; Rev. — Fulton, D. D.; and Andrew Bradshaw, No. 485 Henry street."

Paper marked "Exhibit 67." Q. Were these charges afterwards amended? A. They were; the Committee returned them to me and requested me to amend

them by adding specifications. tains the amended charges? A. It does down to a certain

Mr. Shearman-Indicate with a pencil mark. Please indicate it by a mark [showing witness paper]? A. That is not the whole of the charges they finally accepted; there are two specifications there only.

These are not the charges finally accepted by the Committee? A. These were accepted at the time; the third specifica ion was afterwards added and accepted.

Mr. Shearman-But that was not put in at the time? A. No.

Mr. Fallerton-I now put them in evidence. Mr. Evarts-It is not shown that Mr. Beecher saw this. Mr. Fullerton [showing paper to witness]-Is this the third

Mr. Shearman-Not for months afterwards? Mr. Fullerton-Never mind, Mr. Shearman.

Q. Was afterwards added? A. Yes, Sir,

necification? A. It is.

Mr. Beach-Our object is to ascertain what was finally accepted by the Committee-as finally pending before the Comnittee. That is all that is important Mr. Fullerton-Now, Mr. West, what knowledge had Mr.

Beecher of these amended charges-the third specification? A

The same knowledge that he had of those that were made the vening before Q. State, if you please, what happened? A. I told Mr. echer the substance of the charges. Q. As they are contained in these amended charges? A. In amended charges-not with the third specification,

Q. Without the third specification? A. Yes sir. Mr. Evarts-We apprehend that does not entitle them to read hese charges. The witness says he stated the substance of the charges. The mere fact of stating them to Mr. Beeche would not entitle the reading of this paper. He has given no conversation concerning them on the part of Mr. Beecher which shows that he had any knowledge of what thes charges were. And here was a written paper. before us that he told Mr. Beecher the substance of it, and that leaves a large region of uncertainty whether the whole paper was brought to the attention of Mr. Beecher, Judge Neilson-I will admit it.

Mr. Evarts-We except, Mr. Fullerton-[Reading:]

To the Examining Committee of Plymonth Church, Brooklyn N. Y.

DEAR BRETHERS: It must be well known to you that a great
and terrible scandal has for some time past been publicly proclaimed, to the great injury of the good name of our beloved
pastor, and of our church, and, as I believe, to the great injury
of the Christian cause wherever our pastor and our church, are

It is generally understood that this wicked scandal has origin ated with and been circulated by certain members of our own church, who charge immoral practices of the worst possible church, who charge immoral practices of the wors possible character against our pastor.

Now, to the end that justice may be done to all concerned, and that the Christian religion may be specifily relieved from the stain and reproach which this scandal seems to cast, upon it, i, a primber of this church, respectfully request that you immediately institute a thorough and carnest investigation as to the truth of the following charges and specifications:

1st. I charge Theodore Tition, a member of this church, with having circulated and promoted scandals derogatory to the Christian integrity of our Pastor, and injurious to the reputa-

Christian integrity of our Pastor, and injurious to the reputa-tion of this church. 1st. In an interview between Theodore Tilton and Rev. E.
L. Taylor, D. D. at the office of The Breokyn Union In spring of 1SI, the said Theodore Tilton stated that Rev. He Ward Beecher preached to several (7 or 8) of his mistree every Sunday evening. Upon before rebacked by 0r. Taylor, reiterated the charge, and said that he would make it in Market and the charge and said that he would make it in Market Sunday evening.

er's presence if desired. ness: Rev. E. L. L. Taylon, D. D., 150 Nassau-st., New-Witness: Rev. E. L. L. TAYLOR, D. D., 150 Nassau-st., New-York City.

In a conversation with Mr. Andrew Bradshaw at his residence, in the latter part of November, 1872. Theodore Tilton requested Mr. Bradshaw not to repeat certain statements which had previously been made to him by Mr. Tilton, adding that had previously been made to him by Mr. Tilton, adding that he adaptive all none of the accusations which he had formerly made against Mr. Beecher, but that he wished to hush the sandal on Mr. Beecher, or a secount; that Mr. Beecher was a had man, and not a safe per, on to be allowed to enter the families of his church; that if this scandal ever were cleared up, he (Tilton) would be the only one o. the three involved who would be unburt by it, and that he was "lently suffering now for Mr. Beecher's sake. Witnes: Andrew Bradshaw, 485 Henry-st., Brooklyn, N. Y. I will only add that Y make these charges not from any feeling of ill-will toward the accused, but from a sense of daty as a member of this church, and with the desire and hope that this scandal may cease and that the stain now resting upon our church may be removed.

church may be removed.
Yours fraternally, WM F. WEST." [The paper was marked "Exhibit 68."]

BEECHER ABSENT FROM COMMITTEE MEETINGS. Mr. Fullerton-What, if anything, occurred between yourself and Mr. Beecher in regard to Specification 3d ? A. Nothing excepting that Mr. Beecher was a member of the Examining Committee, and as such, that specification was brought before him, the same as before any other memoer of

Q. Where did the Committee meet when this specification was placed before them? A. They met in the parlors of the

Q. Who placed it before them? A. I placed it before them. Q. Was Mr. Beecher on that Committee at that time? vas not present at that meeting. Q. Was he present at any meeting when this specification came up? A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. Do you know whether it ever came to Mr. Beecher's

rnowledge from any conversation you had with him? A. No Q. I now show you "Exhibit 29," consisting of a letter of Mr. Tallmadge and something else. Did you ever see those be fore or either of them? [Handing witness papers]. A. I neve

aw this particular paper. Q. In whose handwriting are the papers? A. In the handwriting of Mr. Tallmadge. Q. Both of them? A. I should judge so, as far as I can

Q. What office did he hold at that time? A. He was assistant clerk of the church, and clerk of the Examining Committee.

Q. Dld this 3d specification form a part of your charges, as you at length placed them before the Committee ? A. It did. Mr. Evarts-I object that the 3d specification has utterly siled to be brought to the notice of Mr. Beecher, as it nov stands on the evidence. Judge Neilson-it cannot be read, but I think this question

Mr. Evarts-If your Honor please, a single-observation. It is of no importance what it constituted, or to whom it was known if it constituted no part of anything that was brought to Mr. Beecher's knowledge, because the argument is not concerning this Committee having before them this, that or the other thing but concerning Mr. Beecher's knowledge of that fact,

can be answered.

Mr. Pullerton-There is more than one way to show that this third specification was brought home to Mr. Beacher's knowl-Judge Neilson-It was not read, but it may have been brought home to his knowledge. Mr. Beach-Will your Honor please consider one thing,

that we have given several interviews between Mr. Moulton and Mr. Beecher in regard to the West charges, which were made before the Committee, in which those charges have been recognized by Mr. Beecher i those conversations, he being one of the Examining Committee, before which they were pending. Now, Sir, it is perfectly competent for us, I submit to you, under that recognition by Mr. Beecher, to show what those charges were as they were unally adopted by that Committee.

Judge Neilson-Ali that might well occur, and yet this third specification never actually come under his notice; and my opinion is that at present this mere formal question can be answered, showing that it is a part of the proceedings and it re-

Q. When Mr. Beecher made his last remark to you, what was | mains to be seen whether it can be brought home to Mr. B.echer, Mr. Fullerton-That is just the view I take of it, exactly, because I shall supplement this testimony with other upon this

Mr. Evarts-Your Honor will note our exception as it a

Q. Now, the question, Mr. West, is whether this third spect

ication formed a part of your charges, as you finally submitted them to the Committee? A. It did. Mr. Beach-You had better have that marked for identifica

Q. As near as you can tell, when were these amended charges placed before the Committee? A. I can give you the exact date I you would like it, by referring to a copy that I have of them, Q. Refer to any memorandum that you have so as to enable you to snawer the quession. A. [Referring to memorandum. September 2d, 1873.

Q. State, if you please, who composed that Committee. A.

Q. If you please. A. I don't know that I can do that. There were a large number. The Committee was composed of the Pastor and Assistant Pastor of the church, of the deacons and of the members of the Committee who were elected as such. Q. Are you speaking now of the Committee before whom you

what you referred to.

Q. Who was the assistant Pastor at that time? A. Rev. S. B. Hallady.

church to send the charges.

Mr. Fullerton-Yes, Sir. [To defendant's counsel.] If you

ee in reference to the amended charges which you read before Mr. Shearman-To that we object. It is not shown that Mr.

Mr. Beach-It is shown that he was a member of the Com

taces that he went and presented these amended charges. Mr. Shearman-I bog pardon, he has not even said that yet, The gentleman-if you will excuse me-confounds the it

attached? A. With the 3d specification? yes, Sir; that was in

They accepted that specification. Mr. Shearman-One moment. To that we object. There is to evidence that Mr. Beecher was present,

vidence, Sir, in my judgment. Judge Nellson-I taink it does. I think you might put in a record, although he was not present; but not to affect him per Mr. Fullerton-This is a record. Judge Neilson-No; it seems to have been informal.

Mr. Fullerton-I don't understand the difference between

putting in a record and putting in what took place at the time Suppose we follow this up by showing that Mr. Beecher subsequently became aware of the action of this Committee? Judge Neilson-We will take it up again, if you wish, when you find that out. Mr. Fullerton-Then I will say this, that I have already proved that he was aware of it. I propose now to prove that the Con mittee directed that notice should be given and a copy of these

ther and others in respect to these very charges, containing the third charge. Mr. Evarts-There I think you are mistaken. Judge Neilson-The last conversation, being to the effect

the Committee. Ms. Fullerton-If I exercised my judgment, Sir, I should go Now, I think I have laid the foundation for it. I think it is clearly admissible in evidence. It is not necessary that I aid connect Mr. Beecher, step by step, with the action taken by a Committee of that church with reference to this scandal, if in the end we prove that he was aware of what they did. I

ZJudge Neilson-You may reserve that point. Mr. Fullerton-Your Honor will bear in mind that Mr. Monton gave evidence with respect to these charges, that Mr. Preciser said to him that he must get them over until after vacatton-until Fall; and that he did get them passed over until Fall; and then he gave subsequently a conversation between houself and Mr. Beecher in regard to these very charges, in the

good as far as it goes. At the same time, if it were a record of the Church, I think it might be read as such. It does not ap-Mr. Evarts-Your Honor will understand that we do not agree with this unreative of Mr. Moelton's testimony. On the con-trary, it is testimony that was retracted and never was replaced

Mr. Fullerton-Well, I will go on. [To the Witness.] Were these charges ever tried before that Committee that you are aware of ? Mr. Shearman-We object to that until it is shown that Mr.

The Witness-A comy of it was sent to Mr. Tilton in October. Mr. Shearman-I object to that. He simply asks whether

can. [To the witness.] Was a copy of these charges served upon Mr. Tilton within your knowledge?

Mr. Shearman—To that we object. It has got nothing to do
with Mr. Beecher. The fact is, your Honor, as the witness
has very properly said, Mr. Beecher was not pre-

thie for what his church did in its own independent government, being a congregational body not subject to the domination of any pestor or priest-doing what the Church saw fit. Now, Mr. echer is not answerable for what the Church did or did not do unless he was a part of that transaction. Judge Neilson-I think a sufficient answer to the question is

that it does not appear, and there is no suggestion that Mr. West himself personally served the papers upon Mr. Tilton. He only knows from information.

Mr. Pullerton-I seked him whether he has any knowledge

Judge Neilson (to the stenographer)—Lignd the question.

The TRIBUNE's stenographer read the question as follows: Was a copy of those charges served upon Mr. Tilton within your knowledge"? A. I cannot answer that question without an explanation, that I can see, your Honor. It depends upon

reference to their service upon Mr. Tilton? A. Idid not.

Who composed it?

Q. At that time; yes, Sir. A. Do you wish the names?

ald the amended charges? A. Yes, Sir, I thought that was

Q. Was any action taken by that Committee that you know

Mr. Fulierton-Now, gentlemen, will you be kind enough Judge Neilson-The answer taken down will simply be that

O. What resolution was offered and passed by the Commit-

witness was present, or that he was a member of the Commit-

Beecher was present. The Witness-He was not present

that Mr. West persisted in presenting the charges, adhered to that, although Mr. Beecher advised the contrary; but there is

propose to show what he said with reference to the action of this Committee, what advice he gave with reference to this inestigation then set on foot by this witness by the presentation of these charges.

Autumn of 1873. The question was, how they should be met.

Judge Neilson—The point before us is whether this Commit tee adopted a resolution, a thing that Mr. Moulton does not appear to have known, and a thing which it does not appear

by any substitute; and we objected, your Honor will reme to have the paper remain evidence, but your Honor decided that it should remain.

anything was done. I suppose a proper answer is yes or no; that is all Judge Fullerton desires.

Mr. Fullerton—I will make my desires known better than you

Mr. Full-rton-My question, Sir, involves actual, personal knowledge upon his part, whether he served them him

Mr. Evarts-If it is limited to an answer of that kind, go on.

what you call my knowledge.

Q. Were you present when they were served? A. I was not. Q. Did you serve them yourself? A. I did not.
Q. Did you have any conversation with Mr. Beecher with

See Ninth Pages

view of July 1st with that of September 2d, or if not, he is ask-

Q. Now, I ask you what action the Committee took when you hus presented those amended charges and specifications? A.

Mr. Fullerton-That does not preclude me from giving the

charges should be served. We have already proved that a notice was given, which has been read in evidence. We have proved that the charges were served, and they have been read in evidence. And we have proved various conversations between Mr.

no suggestion that Mr. Beecher knew what action the Committee took, I think, in the way of resolution.

Mr. Fullerton-I can recur to this subject again ?

that Mr. Beecher knew. Therefore I think the objection is

Beecher was present.

Judge Neilson-L think he may answer that.

The Witness-Not to my knowledge:

Q. Do you know that anything was done by the Committee

Judge Neilson-I misapprehended you. Go on.

[Marked " Exh. 60, for identification."]

Q. It was! A. The Examining Committee.

of? A. The Committee passed the resolution directing the

the Committee adopted the resolution.

will surnish me that resolution now I will put it in the resolu-tion passed by the Committee on the presentation of the

amended charges of Mr. West. Mr. Tracy-There is no paper here.

Beecher was present; besides, it is not even she

Mr. Shearman -I beg pardon; he was not a member of the

ing his question in such shape that the witness will. Mr. Fullerton-Well, what position do you take about it? you say I am mistaken. [To the witness.] Haven't I asked you if you went and presented these charges, with the 2d specification

Judge Neilson-I think Mr. Moulton did not say -Judge Neilson-You may exercise your judgment. I think it oes not yet appear that Mr. Beecher knew that it was adopted

ent on any of the occasions; he had nothing to do with the action; and this is an attempt to make him respons

was present when some other person served them. Judge Neilson-Well, you may ask him that,

Moulton and Mr. Beecher Mr. Evarts-We say there was no such conversation with Mr.

upon the subject.

Evarts-He did not continue a member of the Com-

Julige Neilson [to the witness]-The question is whether Mr.

Mr. Beach-You are confining your observations, Sir, to the onversations with Mr. West; we refer to those between Mr.

Q. Now, page from that, then. What else took place between